

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,176	09/09/2003	Jon Opsal	TWI-12030	3341	
7590 03/26/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
STALLMAN & POLLOCK LLP			ROSENBERGER, RICHARD A		
Suite 290 121 Spear Stree	t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
San Francisco, CA 94105			2877		

DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/658,176	OPSAL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Richard A Rosenberger	2877				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		orrespondence address				
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tite. cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this 'communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
•						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 September 2003</u> is Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correction of the results of the second sec	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 09/09/2003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 8) 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/658,176 Page 2

Art Unit: 2877

1. Claims 1-7 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over what is claimed in U.S. Patent No. 6,654,131. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because comparing the output signals to expected signals to determine if the process is within a specified tolerance (instant claim 1) is an obvious form of, and is obvious from, "evaluating the geometry of the feature on the sample based upon the output signals (patent claim 9).

2. Papers related to this application may be submitted to Group 2800 by facsimile transmission. The faxing of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (15 November 1989). The fax number is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to R. A. Rosenberger whose telephone number is (571) 272-2428.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

R. A. Rosenberger 15 March 2004

Richard A. Rosenberger Primary Examiner